

Macro: Contrary to expectations for a ‘hawkish cut’, the policy rate down by 300 basis points to 43.0%

The CBRT cut the policy rate by 300 basis points to 43% in July. Our expectation and the average market expectation was that the rate cut process would begin with a cautious and measured step of 250 basis points. Overnight lending and borrowing rates were also reduced by the same amount, to 46.0% and 41.5% respectively, and the width of the asymmetric interest rate corridor was maintained. In August, when there will be no MPC, CBRT has the flexibility to set the effective funding rate at 46% if necessary, as if no interest rate cut had been implemented.

Due to the higher-than-expected cut, the size of cuts at upcoming meetings is becoming important. While no clear guidance has been provided on this issue, it has been stated that a prudent approach will be taken on a meeting-by-meeting basis with a focus on the inflation outlook. We assess the most important message of the decision as ‘higher-than-expected dovish cut’ rather than ‘hawkish communication despite the cut.’ Although the CBRT tried to prevent the 300 bps cut from being perceived as a ‘standard step size,’ it preferred to keep the wording vague in order to maintain flexibility in both directions. The reintroduction of wording implying a negative output gap points to a justification similar to that used in the interest rate cut process initiated last year. We interpret this as an important communication detail regarding the bias toward interest rate cuts. Additionally, we assess the preference for maintaining flexibility rather than adopting a hawkish communication stance that favours ‘err on the upside’ as the second important detail indicating a bias toward easing.

The depreciation trend shaped by recent reserve and exchange rate policies is making it difficult to reach 24% or remain below 29% by the end of the year for the inflation. The risks to our year-end \$/TL assumption of 43.0 are weighted to the upside; the trend over the last three months points to around 44.5 by the end of the year. While inflation is far from the target, the preference for an upward surprise than a downward surprise for the rate cut is an important signal. Together with the reserve/exchange rate policy, we interpret this as a policy approach that tolerates/will tolerate higher inflation to some extent. In summary, based on our reading of the July decision, communication, and policy preference, achieving our year-end inflation forecast, which we updated from 30.0% to 28.5% last month, and keeping inflation within the CBRT target band in December is becoming more challenging.

Our key messages and evaluations are as follows:

MPC Decision:

- ***“The underlying trend of inflation remained flat in June. Leading indicators suggest a temporary rise in monthly inflation in July due to month-specific factors.”***
 - In June, monthly consumer inflation was 1.37%, slightly below market expectations (monthly 1.5-1.6%; annual ≈35.3%). According to seasonally adjusted (s.a.) data published by TÜİK, the monthly inflation remained flat at 2.0%. The median and trimmed inflation rates, our own distribution-based trend indicators, improved slightly to 1.9% and 2.0% monthly. At this point, we interpreted the stabilisation of the annual inflation trend below 30% as a sign that monetary policy could be adjusted. ([Inflation Developments – June 2025](#))
 - Based on current data, the median value of our model forecasts for July inflation is 2.5% (s.a. 2.9%, annual 34.1%). We estimate the one-off administered price/tax effects specific to July (natural gas price increase, automatic excise SCT adjustment) to be approximately 1 percentage point. When we exclude this effect, the underlying trend remains below 2%. Therefore, it is possible that we may see a similar picture to the May-June period in the coming months.
- ***“Recent data indicate that ~~Data for the second quarter point to a slowdown in domestic~~ disinflationary impact of demand conditions has strengthened.”***
 - Our backcast models for the second quarter signal a slowdown in economic activity due to the significant tightening in financial conditions, in line with CBRT forecasts. We expect annual growth to be around 4.5% range (qoq ≈%0) in the second quarter.
 - In the April decision, when interest rates were raised, the emphasis on the ‘negative output gap’ was reduced, and in the June decision, the reference to it was completely removed from the text. The

reintroduction of wording implying a negative output gap points to a justification similar to that used in the interest rate cut process initiated last year. We interpret this as an important communication detail regarding the bias toward interest rate cuts.

- ***The statement that “Potential effects of the geopolitical developments and the rising trade protectionism on the disinflation process are closely monitored.” was retained.***
 - As may be recalled, the CBRT revised its average oil price assumption for 2025 from \$76.5 to \$65.8 in the Inflation Report. However, following developments in June, the price of a barrel of Brent crude oil has exceeded \$75 again. Subsequently, although Brent oil prices fell to the \$68-70 range as geopolitical risks subsided, they still point to a higher outcome than the CBRT's forecasts.
 - According to a blog post published by the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey (TCMB) two weeks ago ([link](#)), a 10% increase in crude oil prices raises consumer inflation by 0.8 points in a year. Based on our own analysis, we estimate the same effect to be 1.2 percentage points. In this context, we can say that there are upward risks related to oil prices in the disinflation path forecast by the CBRT in its May inflation report.
- ***“Going forward, ~~increased~~ coordination of fiscal policy will ~~also contribute significantly~~ to this process”***
 - The CBRT softened its statement regarding the contribution of fiscal policy to the disinflation process. Although coordination has been strong so far in terms of incomes policy and energy prices, it is difficult to advocate a full-fledged coordination when considering withholding tax, fuel taxes and changes in special consumption tax on automobiles. While the inflation trend is improving, frequent administered price and tax adjustments are a significant factor preventing us from permanently falling below 2% monthly and breaking inflation rigidity.
 - In terms of macroeconomic policy priorities, the most pressing issue to be resolved is inflation. To achieve this, policy mix must be formulated from a ‘general equilibrium’ perspective rather than a ‘partial equilibrium’ approach that focuses solely on budgetary targets.
 - The fiscal stance remained loose in the first half of the year. The trend in the primary balance and the increase in interest payments indicate that the MTP targets will not be met. At this stage, we believe that the most important thing is to exit the high interest rate balance, which is putting a heavy burden on both production and the budget, as soon as possible, even if it means compromising on the budget target, by establishing disinflation urgently rather than gradually.
- ***“The Committee will determine the policy rate by taking into account realized and expected inflation, and its underlying trend in a way to ensure the tightness required by the projected disinflation path. The step size will be reviewed prudently on a meeting-by-meeting basis with a focus on the inflation outlook.”***
 - CBRT tried to prevent the 300 bps cut from being perceived as a ‘standard step size’ and preferred to keep the wording vague in order to maintain flexibility in both directions.
 - We assess the preference for maintaining flexibility rather than adopting a hawkish communication stance that favours ‘err on the upside’ as the second important detail indicating a bias toward easing.

This report is prepared by Akbank Economic Research

Economic.research@akbank.com

Çağlar Yüncüler – Vice President

Caglar.Yunculer@akbank.com

M. Sibel Yapıcı

Sibel.Yapici@akbank.com

Sercan Pişkin

Sercan.Piskin@akbank.com

DISCLAIMER STATEMENT: The text, information and graphs in this report and comments has been prepared from the primary accessible systemic sources on a bona fide basis, and without consideration to establishing any guarantee and assurance for establishing a basis for any informed decision under any name or form, in terms of their accuracy, validity and effectiveness, and thus it has only been gathered for information purposes. Akbank TAŞ, Ak Yatırım AŞ and their employees will not be held responsible for any damages resulting from the comments included in this report such as lack of information and/or updates. Akbank TAŞ and Ak Yatırım AŞ may modify and/or remove the above information and recommendations at any time, without having to serve any prior notice and/or warning in any way, manner or form. Since this report and comments, the comprehensive information and recommendations which have been prepared in the form of general investment suggestions for the purpose of providing general information do not include any commitment by Akbank TAŞ and Ak Yatırım AŞ, the consequences of any decisions taken, conclusions reached, transactions made and any risks caused by any private and/or legal person based on such information will therefore rest with such persons. For this reason, Akbank T.A.Ş., Ak Yatırım A.Ş. and their employees shall not by any means or in any manner be liable for any material and moral damages, loss of profits, or any direct and/or indirect damages, whatsoever, incurred by the persons concerned or 3rd parties, as a result of the decisions taken, conclusions reached, or transactions performed by private and/or legal persons due to reliance on the information contained in this report, the comments and recommendations herein, and the persons concerned understand and accept that they are not entitled to claim any indemnity, whatsoever, from Akbank TAŞ, Ak Yatırım AŞ and their employees for any damages suffered/to be suffered. The information given in this report, and the comments and recommendations contained herein do not constitute an "investment consultancy" service and/or activity, but fall within the scope of a general investment consultancy activity, and individuals and entities willing to receive an investment consultancy service must contact entities and institutions that are authorized to provide such service and obtain such service under a service agreement pursuant to the legislations of CMB. Investment consultancy services are offered under an investment consultancy agreement to be signed between brokerage houses, portfolio management companies, banks that do not admit deposits and the clients. The comments and recommendations contained herein depend upon the personal opinions of the persons who make the comments and recommendations. Since such opinions have been arranged in the scope of a general investment consultancy activity they do not contain reports, comments and recommendations specific to a person, and may therefore not suit your financial status, risk and return preferences. Thus, making investment decisions solely based on the information contained herein might not bring an outcome that meets your expectations.

